AD-A228 687 _

DTIS FILE CO

SECURITY CLASSIFICATION OF THIS PAGE

REPORT DOCUMENTATION PAGE					orm Approved 118 No. 0704-0188
REPORT SECURITY CLASSIFICATION UNCLASSIFIED	16 RESTRICTIVE MARKINGS				
2a. SECURITY CLASSIFICATION AUTHORITY		3 DISTRIBUTION DAVING OF THE MENT X			
UNCLASSIFIED					
2b. DECLASSIFICATION / DOWNGRADING SCHEDULE UNCLASSIFIED		Approved for public releases Distribution Unlimited			
4. PERFORMING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)		5. MONITORING ORGANIZATION REPORT NUMBER(S)			
					137
. NAME OF PERFORMING ORGANIZATION 6b. OFFICE SYMBOL		73. NAME OF MONITORING ORGANIZATION			
DIV. OF COMM. DIS. & IMMUN.	(If applicable)	WALTER REED ARMY INSTITUTE OF RESEARCH			RESEARCH
DEPT. VIRUS DISEASES SGRD-UWF-E					
ic. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		7b. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)			
WASHINGTON, D.C. 20307-5100		WASHINGTO	ON, D.C. 2	0307-5100	
a. NAME OF FUNDING/SPONSORING	8b. OFFICE SYMBOL	9 PROCLIPEMENT	T INSTRUMENT	IDENTIFICATION	ALF 184020
ORGANIZATION	9. PROCUREMENT INSTRUMENT IDENTIFICATION NUMBER				
ORGANIZATION (If applicable) FT. DETRICK, FREDERICK, MD		1 402	5-8162		
c. ADDRESS (City, State, and ZIP Code)		10. SOURCE OF FUNDING NUMBERS			
US ARMY MED. RES. & DEV. COMM.		PROGRAM	PROJECT	TASK	WORK UNIT
FT. DETRICK, FREDERICK, MD.	21701-5012	ELEMENT NO.	NO.	NO.	ACCESSION NO.
1. TITLE (Include Security Classification)		<u> </u>	<u> </u>		
Ba. TYPE OF REPORT EDITORIAL FROM S. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION		14. DATE OF REPO SEPT. 198			GE COUNT 41-643
6. SUPPLEMENTARY NOTATION					
7. COSATI CODES	18 SUBJECT TERMS	(Continue on revers	e if necessary	and identify by b	lock number)
FIELD GROUP SUB-GROUP		•			
	<u> </u>				
9. ABSTRACT (Continue on reverse if necessary	and identify by block i	number)			
N/A					
The second second					
A STACTE S	es.				
NOV 0 5 1990 L					
100 0 0 1990 E					
- C					
D .					
20 DISTRIBUTION AVAILABILITY OF ABSTRACT			11,2 T+ 1,435	F(A)]*	
PAPERATO VILLEBALIAVA ON AVAILABLE OF THE STATE OF THE ST	<i>ar</i> □ · · <u>· ·</u>		,	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	
CHENAME OF REPONSIBLE NOVE DIA			TO JOHN ATHER	430	, .
GCC CHARDAS HOKE,					<u> </u>
DD Form 1473, JUN 86	Previous editions an	e 165 Pre		$f \leftarrow f = \Delta f_{1} + \int_{\mathbb{R}} \Delta f_{2}^{*} df$	DN OF THIS PAGE

JAPANESE ENCEPHALITIS — A PLAGUE OF THE ORIENT

JAPANESE encephalitis has attracted attention recently in the United States, Europe, and Australia because of a small number of cases among travelers, but the epidemic proportions of the disease in Asia have compelled immunization of entire regional or national populations. Japanese encephalitis, which is caused by a flavivirus transmitted by mosquitoes, often strikes in unpredictable form. It affects principally school-age children and is greatly feared because of its high lethality and frequency of permanent neu-

rologic sequelae.

The clinical disease was described as early as 1871 in Japan, but the causative agent (Japanese encephalitis virus was not isolated until 1934. A major summertine epidemic problem in Japan until the late 1960s, Japanese encephalitis has subsequently caused dence is due to changes in agricultural and pig-rearing practices, increased use of pesticides, and immunization. A similar trend has been observed in the Republic of Korea and in Taiwan. In China, Japance encephalitis occurs in all but the two westernmost provinces and over 10,000 cases are reported annually, although morbidity and mortality have decreased since 1969. In contrast, dramatic annual epidemics involving many thousands of cases have emerged in the northern part of the Indian subcontinent and Southeast Asia.2 In some areas, such as Nepal and northeastern India, the increased incidence is due to developments in irrigated agriculture, with concomitant expansion of vector populations. As Hoke et al. point out in this issue of the Journal,3 the incidence in northern Thailand exceeds that of poliomyelitis at its peak in the United States. Japanese encephalitis also occurs in southern parts of tropical Asia, but in endemic form, with only sporadic cases. An unresolved question is whether the contrasting pattern is due to geographic differences in the

dynamics of virus transmission or to the intrinsic virulence of virus strains.

Could Japanese encephalitis be introduced and spread in the United States? Although indigenous mosquitoes, such as Culex tarsalis, are efficient vectors, the risk of introduction seems exceedingly remote. Nevertheless, the recent repeated importations of larvae of the Asian tiger mosquito (Aedes albopictus) in used tires has raised concern,4 since Japanese encephalitis virus is known to be vertically transmitted

through the eggs of this species.5

Americans were first affected by Japanese encephalitis in Okinawa in 1945. Hundreds of clinical cases occurred in American soldiers during the Korean War, and nearly 50 percent of troops in the Pusan perimeter had serologic evidence of infection. In 1969, at least 10,000 Americans were infected in Vietnam and 57 encephalitic cases were recorded. This history underlies the military interest in Japanese encephalitis, but it also emphasizes the high ratio of subclinical to overt infection (200:1 to 300:1). Since 1981, when an American civilian acquired the disease in China, increasing attention has been paid to the risks associated with travel. Physicians in the United States should thus be aware of the clinical and epidemiologic features of the disease and of the characteristics of available vaccines.

The most important risk factor for infection of travelers is exposure to Culex tritaeniorhyncus mosquitoes, which is most likely in the late afternoon to early evening in areas of rice cultivation. Although principally rural, rice paddies are also commonly found on the

outskirts of large Asian cities.

After an incubation period of 7 to 14 days, the clinical illness begins with rapid onset of fever, chills, mafewer than 100 cases annually. The decline in incity aise, headache, nausea, and vomiting. This prodromal phase lasts several days and is followed by symptoms and signs of generalized central nervous system infection, including nuchal rigidity, photophobia, confusion, delirium or stupor, generalized convulsions, tremors, muscular rigidity, masklike facies, and localized paresis, generally of the upper-motor-neuron type. A peripheral leukocytosis with relative lymphopenia is typical. The cerebrospinal fluid is under increased pressure and contains mildly elevated concentrations of protein and up to 500 lymphocytes per microliter.

> No specific therapy is available. Approximately 10 percent of patients die despite optimal care; the higher fatality rates of 30 to 70 percent reported during epidemics reflect the lack of supportive therapy and recognition of only the most severe cases. Elderly persons are more prone to fatal infection. Neurologic sequelae, including intellectual and emotional changes and motor impairment, occur in up to 80 percent of survivors, especially children. Poor prognostic indicators include prolonged fever, a severely depressed sen

sorium, Babinski's sign, and the presence of virus and low levels of specific IgG and IgM in the cerebrospinal fluid.⁷

The disease can be diagnosed by isolating the virus from cerebrospinal fluid or by serologic tests on cerebrospinal fluid and serum samples (available from the Centers for Disease Control through state health department laboratories). Transplacental infection followed by abortion has been documented in humans and is a correlate of stillbirth in infected swine — the principal viremic host in the transmission cycle.

Crude, formalinized mouse-brain vaccines were tested in humans in Japan in the late 1930s and were licensed in 1954. Partial purification with protamine sulfate was reported in 1958 and became a routine commercial procedure in 1962. Vaccine thus purified of myelin basic protein fails to induce allergic encephalomyelitis in guinea pigs. Since a large double-blind, placebo-controlled trial of protamine sulfate-purified vaccine in Taiwan demonstrated an efficacy of 81 percent in 1965, the vaccine has been routinely administered to millions of Japanese schoolchildren, without postvaccinal neurologic accidents.

Although the protamine sulfate-precipitated vaccine was further purified by ultracentrifugation in 1968, the efficacy of this more highly purified product, prepared by the Research Foundation for Microbial Diseases of Osaka University (Biken vaccine), was not studied critically until Hoke et al.³ conducted their extensive trial in Thailand. They reported an efficacy of 91 percent in children after two doses of vaccine. Others, including the Centers for Disease Control, have found that as many as 25 percent of persons receiving two doses do not seroconvert⁹; therefore, a three-dose schedule of primary immunization probably affords the best protection.

The Centers for Disease Control have published recommendations for the immunization of travelers. 10 Although the supply of the Biken vaccine to the general population was interrupted in 1987 because of the manufacturer's concern over liability, efforts are under way to resolve this issue, and the Food and Drug Administration is currently reviewing applications for licensure of the vaccine. In developing countries of Asia, wide-scale immunization with purified mouse-brain vaccines is not likely because of its high cost (Biken vaccine costs approximately \$2.30 per dose). Less expensive vaccines — both inactivated and live, attenuated vaccines - produced in tissue culture have been used successfully in China. The best of the live vaccines (designated SA14-14-2) has been adapted to an approved cell-culture substrate and is undergoing molecular characterization in the United States. Indeed, the entire genome of Japanese encephalitis virus has been cloned and sequenced, 11 and immunogenic viral proteins have been expressed in yeast and bacteria. These approaches offer the promise of future vaccines that are inexpensive as well as efficacious and safe.

Centers for Disease Control Fort Collins, CO 80522

THOMAS P. MONATH, M.D.

REFERENCES

- Huang CH. Studies of Japhnese encephalitis in China. Adv Virus Res 1982; 27:71-101.
- Umenai T, Krzysko R, Bektimirov TA, Assaad FA. Japanese encephalitis: current worldwide status. Bull WHO 1985; 63:625-31.
- Hoke CH, Nisalak A, Sangawhipa N, et al. Protection against Japanese encephalitis by inactivated vaccines. N Engl J Med 1988; 319:608-14.
- Monath TP. Aedes albopictus, an exotic mosquito vector in the United States. Ann Intern Med 1986; 105:449-51.
- Rosen L. The natural history of Japanese encephalitis virus. Annu Rev Microbiol 1986; 40:395-414.
- Ketel WB, Ognibene AJ. Japanese B encephalitis in Vietnam. Am J Med Sci 1971; 261:271-9.
- Burke DS, Lorsomrudee W, Leake CJ, et al. Fatal outcome in Japanese encephalitis. Am J Trop Med Hyg 1985; 34:1203-10.
- Hsu TC, Chow LP, Wei HY, et al. A completed field trial for an evaluation of the effectiveness of mouse-brain Japanese encephalitis vaccine. In: Harmon WMD, Kitaoka M, Downs WG, eds. Immunization for Japanese encephalitis. Baltimore: Williams & Wilkins, 1971:258-65.
- Henderson A. Immunisation against Japanese encephalitis in Nepal: experience of 1152 subjects. J R Army Med Corps 1984; 130:188-91.
- Centers for Disease Control. Japanese encephalitis with special reference to the low risk for travelers to the 1988 Olympics to be held in Korea. Advisory memorandum no. 93, January 7, 1988.
- Sumiyoshi H, Mori C, Fuke I, et al. Complete nucleotide sequence of the Japanese encephalitis virus genome RNA. Virology 1987; 161:497-510.

